## **EXHIBIT** T

	Case 2:09-cv-01591-KJD-GWF Docume	nt 49 Filed 01/12/10 Page 1 of 5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ. (NSBN 1888) BERT WUESTER JR., ESQ. (NSBN 5556) FOX ROTHSCHILD, LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Email: jhunt@foxrothschild.com Email: bwuester@foxrothschild.com Ph. (702) 262-6899; fax (702) 597-5503  BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468) LUCIA MACDONALD (SBN 233028) CHAD RUSSELL (SBN 246046) Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286  DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50p7 Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: (650) 506-4846 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com	AARON D. FORD, ESQ. (NSBN 7704) SNELL & WILMER LLP 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Email: aford@swlaw.com Telephone: (702) 784-5265 Facsimile: (702) 784-5252  MICHAEL B. LEVIN, ESQ. JONATHON M. JACOBSON, ESQ. WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100  Attorneys for Non-Parties RIMINI STREET INC. and SETH RAVIN
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ORACLE USA, INC., et al.	
16	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
17	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
18		•
19	ORACLE USA, INC, a Colorado Corporation, et. al.,	CASE NO.: 2:09-CV-01591 KJD (GWF)
20	Plaintiffs,	Pending in CASE NO.: 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL) (N.D. Cal.)
21	vs.	STIPULATION AND
22	SAP AG, a German corporation, et. al.,	ORDER FINDING NON-PARTIES RIMINI STREET, INC. AND SETH
23	Defendants.	RAVIN IN CIVIL CONTEMPT
24		
25		
26		
27		,
28	, ,	
		Case No. 2:09-CV-01591 KJD (GWF)

Gase 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 169-20 Filed 11/04/11 Page 2 of 6

Case 2:09-cv-01591-KJD-GWF Document 49 Filed 01/12/10 Page 2 of 5		
WHEREAS, on August 21, 2009, Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., et al. ("Oracle") filed their		
Motion to Compel Non-Party Seth Ravin ("Mr. Ravin") to Answer Deposition Questions, and to		
Compel Non-Party Rimini Street, Inc. ("Rimini Street") (collectively, the "Non-Parties") to		
Produce Documents in Response to Oracle's Subpoena in the United States District for the		
District of Nevada (Docket #1);		
WHEREAS, the Non-Parties opposed Oracle's Motion to Compel on September 14, 2009		
(Docket #26);		
WHEREAS, Magistrate Judge George F. Foley, Jr. granted in part and denied in part		
Oracle's Motion to Compel on October 13, 2009 (Docket #38) ("Judge Foley's Order");		
WHEREAS, the Non-Parties filed timely Objections to Magistrate Judge Foley's Report		
and Recommendations with the Court on October 27, 2009 (Docket #39);		
WHEREAS, the Court denied the Non-Parties' Objections on November 25, 2009		
(Docket #43) ("Judge Dawson's Order") (collectively, with Judge Foley's Order, "the Orders");		
WHEREAS, the Non-Parties believe they have meritorious grounds to appeal the Orders;		
WHEREAS, the Non-Parties believe the only available avenue for appeal of the Orders is		
from an order of contempt for refusal to comply with the Orders;		
WHEREAS, in light of the above, the Non-Parties have refused to comply with the		
Orders;		
WHEREAS, on December 22, 2009, Oracle filed an application for an order to show		
cause why the Non-Parties should not be held in contempt of court (Docket #44), requesting		
sanctions in the amount of \$15,803.50 and any other relief the Court deems appropriate and just;		
WHEREAS, while the Non-Parties wish to avoid unnecessary motion practice, and are		
unwilling to waive what they contend is their right to appeal this Stipulation and Order and the		
underlying Orders, the Non-Parties are willing to stipulate to a finding of civil contempt for		
refusal to comply with the Orders, in the form of the present Stipulation and Order (as set forth		
below) for purposes of establishing what they contend is an avenue for appellate review.		

28

case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 169-20 Filed 11/04/11 Page 3 of 6

WHEREAS, Defendants in the underlying litigation have requested to file a brief in connection with the appeal of this Stipulation and Order, and Oracle disagrees that Defendants have any basis or right to do so but has agreed with Non-Parties, in the interest of maintaining the expedited briefing schedule on appeal set forth below and without waiving any rights to challenge Defendants' standing or basis to participate in the appeal, that Defendants may file a brief on appeal, as specified in paragraph 8 below.

THEREFORE, the parties stipulate, and the Court finds, that:

- 1. The Non-Parties have refused to comply with the Orders.
- 2. The Non-Parties are hereby found to be in civil contempt of the Orders.
- 3. As the sole sanction for such contempt, the Non-Parties shall stipulate to the Order of Contempt as set forth herein, and pay Oracle's attorneys' fees in the amount of \$15,803.50.
- 4. Non-Parties' obligation to pay the fees awarded in this Stipulation and Order shall be stayed until the earlier of (a) ten (10) days after this Stipulation and Order is entered by the Court, if no appeal of this Stipulation is filed by such date; or (b) ten (10) days following issuance of the mandate from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit following an appeal of this Stipulation and Order and the Orders; or (c) ten (10) days after dismissal of any appeal from this Stipulation and Order and the Orders. Even if such stay extends until or beyond trial of the underlying action (*Oracle Corporation et al. v. SAP AG et al.*, Case No. 4:07-cv-01658-PJH (N.D. Cal.)), the Non-Parties shall remain obligated to pay the sanction.
- 5. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall result in a waiver of any right of the Non-Parties that otherwise exists to appeal this Stipulation and Order of contempt and the Orders.
- 6. Oracle agrees that it will not seek to dismiss Non-Parties' appeal of this Stipulation and Order, provided that Non-Parties comply with paragraph 7 below.
- 7. Oracle and Non-Parties will cooperate to take all reasonable steps, and file all necessary papers, to request expedited briefing and hearing of Non-Parties' appeal. To that end, Oracle and Non-Parties will request the Court of Appeals to order that Non-Parties' opening

	Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 169-20 Filed 11/04/11 Page 5 of 6  Case 2:09-cv-01591-KJD-GWF Document 49 Filed 01/12/10 Page 4 of 5	
1	brief be due April 1, 2010; Oracle's brief be due April 25, 2010; Non-Parties' reply brief be due	
2	May 10, 2010; and the appeal be argued the week of July 12-16, 2010 (or argued August 2-6,	
3	2010, if trial of the underlying action is continued). Non-Parties will file their Notice of Appeal	
4	within 10 (ten) days after the Court enters this Stipulation and Order. Oracle and Non-Parties	
5	will use their best efforts to stipulate as to the portions of the transcript Non-Parties intend to	
6	order within 7 (seven) days after the notice of appeal is filed. Non-Parties will file in court the	
7	transcript designation form 7 (seven) days after Notice of Appeal is filed. Non-Parties will	
8	arrange for expedited preparation of the record by the court reporter. Oracle and Non-Parties	
9	will stipulate that the Court of Appeals' mandate issue on shortened time, if needed to cause the	
10	mandate to issue by October 7, 2010.	
11	8. The parties have agreed that Defendants' brief is due 7 days from the filing date	
12	of Non-Parties' brief (by April 8, 2010), and Defendants' brief will be limited to 7000 words. In	
13	the event Defendants file a brief as set forth above, Non-Parties will not oppose any motion by	
14	Oracle requesting an enlargement of its brief by 4200 words, or, if no such motion is filed, Non-	
15	Parties will stipulate to Oracle's request for an enlargement of its brief by 4200 words.	
16		
17	Dated: January 8, 2010 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	
18	Professional Corporation	
19	D. C. O. C. L. A. D. Landin	
20	By: <u>/s/ Michael B. Levin</u> MICHAEL B. LEVIN	
21	Attorneys for Non-Parties SETH RAVIN AND RIMINI STREET, INC.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

- 1	Case 2:09-cv-01591-KJD-GWF Document 49 Filed 01/12/10 Page 5 of 5
1	
2	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
3	By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Howard GEOFFREY M. HOWARD
4	·
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ORACLE USA, Inc., et. al.
6	
7	THE STATE OF STREET, A PROPERTY OF THE STATE
8	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	DATED: January 12, 2010  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11 12	
13	
13	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	